

When a person is wrongfully convicted, they have to live everyday with the guilt the State labeled them with, to imprison them under the guise of Justice.

TO VOICE YOUR OPINION - WRITE TO ME,

COREY VONBERG 170019 - P.O.BOX 250 - DRAPER UT 84020

SOUTH CHICAGO
ABC ZINE DISTRO
PO BOX 721
HOMEWOOD, IL 60430

MY PLIGHT FOR FREEDOM



Utah on Blast

By Corey Vonberg 2011

OATH OF OFFICE

STATE OF UTAH:
COUNTY OF IRON: SS:

I, do solemnly swear that I will support, obey and defend the constitution of the United States, and the constitution of this State - and I will discharge the duties of my office with fidelity - (This oath is in no way binding, and is only a front to decieve the citizens of this State.)

IRON COUNTY
Cedar City

UTAH - CROOKED AND CORRUPT.

My experience with UTAH's government agencies - top to bottom, have shown me they are CROOKED and CORRUPT. Oaths of Office, and agencies established to hold officials accountable - are only a Front to deceive the citizenry, and support corruption. My documents affirm this. Under penalty of perjury, I present this to the Public.



In closing. I would like to give my special thanks to Ⓐnthony for granting me this great opportunity to give voice to my cause. to my family and friends for their support through out this ordeal towards freedom... I love you.

his case files on me during this official investigation conducted by the Attorney General.

This zine, Thanks to Anthony - is allowing my voice to be heard amongst the masses for the first time - exposing these officials for what they are - CROOKED & CORRUPT.

I'm open to any thoughts, ideas, advice, suggestions, or what not - anybody body who reads this may offer for me to consider.

This prison does not have a law library, and the contracted attorneys encouraged the warden - Turley, back in '09 to deny me their services bu saying I was trying to make them file frivolous claims - when in fact they were advising me to file several grounds that had nothing to do with my case. And when I called them on it - they refused to help me. The Warden told me to go find help on the streets. So thats kind of what Im doing here, in drawing attention to these frauds.

Thank you for all your time and consideration into this matter. Perhaps somebody else might find themselves in similar positions within their family and we might benefit each other some how.

Only a novel could provide a full picture of my experience. As such, this zine will not be thorough, and will leave you with many questions. The facts - some GRAPHIC - Make this zine for ADULTS ONLY.

Battling a wrongful conviction, especially when "your" attorneys sold you out, is without exception the hardest job Ive ever had. Other than these multiple rapes - my record is clean, except for a misdemeanor D.U.I. in 2003.

My privacy has always been a large factor in my life. I grew up in the country - so I treasure a confidential life, and my personal space. Sometimes though, like it or not. I have to step outside of my comfort zone as I am doing now to reclaim my identity.

The goal behind this zine is to put UTAH's government officials on blast for conspiring with its citizens to imprison me on charges that are founded on ficticious allegations made to "deceive" authorities into seeking my arrest and extradition out of IDAHO. I put quotes around deceive, as over the years, I have gathered evidence UTAH's authorities knew and abetted my false arrest and wrongful conviction using intrinsic fraud.

I'm gay, head strong. pick my friends, speak my mind, and am straight up about it. If people don't like me for it - they can kick rocks. Ive done construction work most of my life, and am not above doing the grunt work to get things accomplished.

The artwork I'm showing was done in 2006 in that very cell, drawn to scale. They hold the spirit of confinement - in my book. Some see this art as dark, and in a way it is. It symbolizes the demons Ive confronted within and without myself through this ordeal. I found it healing to draw them.

• • •

On Nov. 30. 2003, Mr. Bottema took his teenage son to authorities and told them I had sexually assaulted his son several months earlier. He said his son told him this after he had confronted all his children about who had been using his office computer to view gay pornography on the Internet, he saw was being accessed after reviewing the history files.

Utah's authorities never objectively validated any claims or accusations made against me. I demanded a forensic exam be done on this computer. On May 26. 2005, Western Computer Forensics reported this computer, since its

into life in prison.

Adult Probation and Parole used the fabricated journal entry of bruising and death threats to seek a harsher sentence. Prosecutors wanted four 15 to life sentences - The court compromised on four 10 to lifes = 20 to life.

Utah Board of Pardons and Parole is aware of all the fraud - but maintains they can do nothing, Except give me a 14 year rehearing in 2024.

The Trial court has denied me access to file for my state habeas corpus. They signed for it twice - lastly amended. And reported to me they would do with it as they deemed fit - then claimed they never got it.

I filed an Accusation to remove the County Sheriff, Prosecutors, and Judge Westfall from office, Codefendant Westfall presides over this case, and he gave it to his Codefendants in the Prosecutor's Office to determine if they would like to be prosecuted for its contents. For sixteen months they concealed this case's accusations from Utah's Attorney General, who should have received it in January of 2009. Then came to find out in February of this year, the Sheriff destroyed his

plea bargain. I told my attorneys they could tell the state to pound up their — ya. I refuse to cower to corruption, that of the State my "legal defenders" or anyone else and their stupid intimidation tactics - Id as soon die, then submit - and death isnt an option. They'd have another pizza party like they did after my conviction.

My trial "attorney" Keith Barnes, who my family retained, wouldn't file a cross complaint against the Bottema's for obstructing justice by presenting fabricated evidence to procure my arrest... he said "That's a job for a defense counsel, Im a trial attorney." So he stole 7 grand from my family rather than defend me.

The boy's Mother, years earlier, left her husband for a woman - who happens to be my sister. His mother testified she put her son in therapy after the allegations surfaced. This therapist never testified at trial, nor was Mr Hollingshead, who originally interviewed the boy, called to testify.

My arrest was sought on inspiration alone. I am the only one who has performed any investigations. And each time, it shows the fraud reaching deeper and wider into the system & my attorneys, to railroad me

manufacture date, had never had an Internet provider granting them access to the Internet, EVER. Confirming their claims it was used to view gay porno was manufactured to decieve authorities. In September 2005, Mr Bottema was informed of this at Trial. His testimony in response to this was; "Whatever. That was the computer in our home at the time." Prosecution called their Forensic witnesses testimony a "red herring", i.e., not relevant - and was also "kind of goofy" testimony.

The boy, after confessing to being the one who had used the computer to view "things on sex and stuff," Produced a journal entry of a violent assault he had written several months after I had left the State. He wrote he had been grabbed and thrown on a bed, was ordered to undress and masturbate himself for me - and was threatened that if he told anyone I would return and kill him and his family.

At Trial, the boy confessed he manufactured the journal entry "for the attention". And said nothing he wrote had ever occured. (I was never charged for this assault entered in his journal.) Regardless of the Fabricated entry - Prosecution said it was credible

that something had happened to the boy, and it was entered into evidence against me - State's Exhibit 1. Even though the boy said he had the journal with him at the interview in 2003, law enforcement didn't seize it from him for 38 days after they were told it existed - January 8, 2004 -

Too, the journal entry written right after the alleged assaults occured - was concealed. He wrote "everythings been okay" and that the projects I had done around the house looked "pretty cool" - - -

The computer's use, and the journal entry are the foundation giving credibility to their claims of how the assaults were exposed. Sheriff's officials arranged an interview to be conducted at the Children's Justice Center, afterwards a warrant was sought for my arrest.

As it was a "he said, he said" case, Prosecutors brought in a puppet to give credibility to the allegations. Mr Keith, aka Jeff Miller, told cops he talked with the prosecutor about my case a week prior to coming to them, to say I had confessed to him about committing the crimes. The Prosecutor also so happens to be his Mormon Bishop, who is now protected

court as I told you - Judge G. Michael Westfall systematicaly directs prosecution to select and hire conflict attorneys for defendants.

Ive complained to the Judicial Conduct Commission, State Bar, Ethics and Discipline Committee, Governor, Dept. of Public Safety and on and on with this check system, but these good 'ol boys won't do their job as the public is lead to believe it is. They just passively conceal the corruption, never address the facts of the complaints, they tap dance all over the place and do whatever it takes to get it off their desk, Frustrate it, and protect their constituents.

Prosecutors hired Jack Burns PC to replace Mr Jackson in my appeal. He isn't an Appellate attorney - exhibited by the fact he told the court he was going to file a new brief, but instead - he plagiarized Mr. Jackson's losing brief clear down to Mr. Jacksons personal opinions, and bogus claims I confessed to the crimes. Even though my Appeal went south - the Court did affirm that the records don't show I confessed to anything, ever -

I was extradited into Utah with three strikes already against me: 1) Im gay; 2) I'm not mormon; and 3) I wouldn't be intimidated into

my case. Prosecutors kept selecting him, and as such, prosecution unlawfully performed the authority of the court. The Court itself is guilty of moral turpitude, as it directed prosecution to select their adversaries counsel. This overt misuse of power is made possible because these wrongdoers are clothed with the authority of the state. An authority they are drunk on.

In Appeal - Mr Jackson informed me that if I didnt shut up about him not addressing my concerns, "To take your case along the familiar trial is not unaccustomed to me and certainly I can write you a pretty impressive brief that will by all rights comply with what the law requires and will most likely end the way others have ended. By you losing!" Another time he wrote. "However, if we are to work together on this appeal, and I think we should, let's start by you first not assuming that I don't know what the hell Im doing and I will return the favor by not assuming that you do."

I knew what the hell he was up to - selling me out - as he did all along. And I knew what I was doing too, making a paper trail of corruption. Mr Jackson, after he filed my losing brief, was removed from my Appeal due to the same old conflict. The

under clerical confidentiality, and cant be compelled to testify about his confidential visits with Mr Keith, discussing my case. But Mr Keith is permitted to pick and choose what to say concerning their discussions he had with his Bishop, the prosecutor, and his Boss. Yes, Mr. Keith volunteered his services as a paralegal assistant for the prosecutor's office - giving him further access to case information. Mr. Keith was sentenced to serve ten days in jail for driving around on a four wheeler drunk with a child. He was planted in my cell, and put on the Floor, as my cellie had the other bed. How do I know he was a plant? The cell next door was empty - two beds open - thats how. And after Mr Keith gave his statement - while incarcerated, he was released, and did not have to serve the last three days of his sentence for turning State's evidence against me. At trial, the court refused to let us question him about his arrests for theft by deception (Auto) and his prison sentence as such.

After my trial, and prior to sentencing, Mr. Keith was arrested for obstruction of justice and promptly posted bail. The courts say there's no case number for this charge. The prosecutors said they weren't aware of this

arrest. Contrary to what prosecutors told me, the Police Dept. told me - they told them that they declined to prosecute for lack of evidence. Why would ACME post $5,000 bail as a surety that he would appear in court when no criminal case had been established? Someone(s) in the government kept this charge from entering the courts, to protect his "credibility" before I was sentenced.

At Trial the boy's Mother testified she learned of the alleged assaults after her exhusband called her and said he needed to speak to her about something - and told her over the phone. She was questioned if she had noticed any changes in her son from the time the a lts were supposed to have occurred, up to the time - just before her exhusband called her, that would have caused her to suspect that any sexual a lt had occurred against her son? She said "No." And she also affirmed she had sent me a Thank you note two months after I had left for the nice work I did.

January 2. 2004. I was arrested in IDAHO as a fugative from justice out of UTAH. On charges I sodomized (oral) a teenage boy several months earlier. Idaho informed me Utah had a considerable amount of

continuity with the child.

Since the interviewer is not a professional in statement evidence, we should not expect that he would be able to obtain the necessary statement quality required which is evident in this attempt to support the charges in this case."

In 2008. Mr. Giffen wrote a news paper informing them: "Utah court records over a three-year period show that 37 cases of 291 were completely dismissed. In one of those cases, a teenage female admitted to lying about the alleged sexual contacts. All charges were dismissed against the accused after 17 months." In subsequent letters, Mr. Giffen reports. "the state is attempting to bring cases forward without any objective findings; and therefore placing the entire burden on defense." Mr. Giffen writes the prosecutors in my case about my attorneys, "Mr Leigh seems uninterested in returning my calls and Mr Jackson hung up the phone when I called him the other day." Both these "attorneys" conflicted out of my case - Mr Jackson for representing Mr Keith in the DUI w/ child. Yet Mr Jackson, the Court, and Prosecutors permitted him to be reappointed to my case on multiple occasions. and into my appeal. They also waived my rights ... The trial court said because it didn't rule on this conflict, it wasn't the court's problem he kept on being assigned to

out of Salt Lake City presented his forensic statement after reviewing all of the state's evidence against me. He determined, "In this case, the State has literally manufactured multiple counts of abuse based on incomplete evidence. The State has started by assuming a reliable allegation based on inadequate descriptions; and continued to assign further counts of abuse with nothing more than a brief response from the boy. In effect, the State has made the defendant's case worse by inferring from weak or no objective evidence by continuing to add charges based on even less information, which is more weak and assuming.

The potential for error here, is for a child to begin with a fabricated allegation and carry it through to trial without ever having the allegation determined valid at any point of the process." Mr Giffen states Mr Hollingshead, who interviewed the boy, "... does not focus on the allegations but allows the child into areas unrelated to the target points of forensic interest. The interviewer is unsure what questions to ask, so he leaves the interview for a long period to consult with someone. A professional in this situation would know exactly when he has enough information to bring a complaint against a defendant without consulting with anyone during the interview and therefore breaking

forensic evidence to tie me to the alleged crimes. I told them there couldnt be any forensics - because I never touched the boy. They assured me Utah had forensic evidence. The Judge said I could file a Writ of habeas corpus to challenge extradition. "Counsel" told me the habeas was only to challenge that I wasnt who they said I was ... She lied.

Several weeks after being extradited into Utah I had my first attorney visit/contact. I demanded he produce the forensics IDAHO said they had against me. He asked me what I was talking about? "The state doesnt have any forensics against you." He said what the state did have, was the journal and someone claiming I confessed to the crimes. He said that was enough to send me to prison for a LONG time. I spat, I never confessed to these bogus charges. But he assured me I had. I demanded Forensics be conducted on the case. He advised me against it - as it could be used against me. I asked him how in the world that could be when I didnt commit any crimes!

The state agreed to pay for a forensic exam of the case. On May 17, 2004 Bruce Giffen PsyD.


SLAUGHTER
DEATH
HATCHET
Live




WAIT!

